Nothing worth saying fits on a slide...

## CALL FORM

* This is a superior alternative to CALL PROCESS/On Outside Call
* There is no form event associated with CALL FORM
* Special rules for form startup
* Calls are appended to the current thread of execution


## Understanding the commands

* The basic command documentation is excellent
* The "About workers" documentation is confusing and misleading


Worker_1 associated with Worker_1 process with Worker_2 process


## Understanding the execution sequence

* Requests from one source
* Requests from multiple sources
* Self-calls
* Form loading sequences


## Code in run order: What order do the alerts appear in?

```
    // TryPhrases process controller
C_LONGINT(TryPhases_winref)
TryPhases_winref:=Open form window("TryPhases";Plain form window)
CALL FORM(TryPhases_winref;"ShowAlert";"1: Before displaying form.")
DIALOG("TryPhases")
    // Object method for a button on the form
CALL FORM(TryPhases_winref;"ShowAlert";"2: Set in On Load of object
method")
    // Form Method: TryPhases
Case of
    : (Form event=0n Load)
        CALL FORM(TryPhases_winref;"ShowAlert";"3: Set in On Load.")
        ShowAlert ("4: Called in On Load")
End case
```


## Code in run order: What order do the alerts appear in? <br> Code <br> Window 3454555 Queue <br> // TryPhrases process controller <br> C_LONGINT (TryPhases_winref) <br> TryPhases_winref:=Open form window("TryPhases"; Plain form window) <br> $\qquad$

## Code in run order: What order do the alerts appear in?

## Code

Window 3454555 Queue
// TryPhrases process controller
C_LONGINT (TryPhases_winref)
TryPhases_winref:=0-9en form window("TryPhases";Plain form window) $\longrightarrow$ []

CALL FORM(TryPhases_winref;"ShowAlert";"1: Before displaying form.") $\longrightarrow$ ["ShowAlert";"1: Before displaying form."]

## Code in run order: What order do the alerts appear in?

## Code

## Window 3454555 Queue

// TryPhrases process controller
C_LONGINT (TryPhases_winref)
TryPhases_winref:=0̄pen form window("TryPhases";Plain form window) $\longrightarrow[]$

CALL FORM(TryPhases_winref;"ShowAlert";"1: Before displaying form.") $\longrightarrow[" S h o w A l e r t " ; " 1: ~ B e f o r e ~ d i s p l a y i n g ~ f o r m . "] ~$
DIALOG("TryPhases")

```
// Object method for a button on the form
["ShowAlert";"1: Before displaying form."]
CALL FORM(TryPhases_winref;"ShowAlert";"2: Set in On Load of object method")
["ShowAlert";"2: Set in On Load of object method"]
```


## Code in run order: What order do the alerts appear in? <br> Code <br> Window 3454555 Queue <br> // TryPhrases process controller <br> ```C_LONGINT(TryPhases_winref)``` <br> TryPhases_winref:=0pen form window("TryPhases";Plain form window) $\longrightarrow[]$

CALL FORM(TryPhases_winref;"ShowAlert";"1: Before displaying form.") $\longrightarrow$ ["ShowAlert";"1: Before displaying form."]
DIALOG("TryPhases")

```
// Object method for a button on the form (an m
CALL FORM(TryPhases_winref;"ShowAlert";"2: Set in On Load of object method") \longrightarrow["ShowAlert";"2: Set in On Load of object method"]
```

```
// Form Method: TryPhases
Case of
    ["ShowAlert";"1: Before displaying form."]
    : (Form event=0n Load
    CALL FORM(TryPhases_winref;"ShowAlert";"3: Set in On Load.")}\longrightarrow\longrightarrow["ShowAlert";"3: Set in On Load."
```

    ShowAlert ("4: Called in On Load")
    End case

## Code in execution order

```
    // TryPhrases process controller
C_LONGINT(TryPhases_winref)
TryPhases_winref:=Open form window("TryPhases";Plain form window)
DIALOG("TryPhases")
    // Object method for a button on the form
    // Form Method: TryPhases
Case of
    : (Form event=0n Load)
        ShowAlert ("4: Called in On Load")
End case
ShowAlert("1: Before displaying form.")
ShowAlert("2: Set in On Load of object method.")
ShowAlert("3: Set in On Load.")
```


## Understanding the execution sequence

* Requests from one source
* Requests from multiple sources
* Self-calls
* Form loading sequences

Advice on structuring form code

* Make the sequence in the code the same as the sequence of execution as much as possible
* Consolidate form setup code, form methods, and scripts into global methods with action/switch/event parameters parameters
* Tip: It's easier to reuse and test form control code when you can emulate form events with a parameter


## CALL WORKER

* Designed as a thread-safe way to communicate with preemptive workers
* Useful with any worker in 32 or 64-bit, compiled or interpreted, cooperative or preemptive
* Calls are appended to the current thread of execution


## Code execution commands

| Command | Location Identifier | Method | Result | \{Parameters\} | \{Parameters\} |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EXECUTE METHOD | Current context | Name | Result | Parameter 1 | Parameter $n$ |
| EXECUTE METHOD IN SUBFORM | Subform reference | Name | Result | Parameter 1 | Parameter $n$ |
| EXECUTE ON CLIENT | Client reference | Name | n/a | Parameter 1 | Parameter $n$ |
| Execute on server | Process name | Name | Process ID | Parameter 1 | Parameter $n$ |
| New process | Process name | Name | Process ID | Parameter 1 | Parameter $n$ |
| CALL FORM | Window reference | Name | n/a | Parameter 1 | Parameter $n$ |
| CALL WORKER | Worker reference | Name | n/a | Parameter 1 | Parameter $n$ |

## Code Execution Commands: Renamed

| Command | Location Identifier | Method | Result | \{Parameters\} | \{Parameters\} |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EXECUTE METHOD | Current context | Name | Result | Parameter 1 | Parameter n |
| EXECUTE METHOD IN SUBFORM | Subform reference | Name | Result | Parameter 1 | Parameter n |
| EXECUTE ON CLIENT | Client reference | Name | n/a | Parameter 1 | Parameter n |
| Execute on server | Process name | Name | Process ID | Parameter 1 | Parameter n |
| New process | Process name | Name | Process ID | Parameter 1 | Parameter n |
| EXECUTE METHOD IN WINDOW | Window reference | Name | n/a | Parameter 1 | Parameter n |
| EXECUTE METHOD IN WORKER | Worker reference | Name | n/a | Parameter 1 | Parameter n |

## Code Execution Commands: Renamed (2)

| Command | Location Identifier | Method | Result | \{Parameters\} | \{Parameters\} |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| EXECUTE METHOD | Current context | Name | Result | Parameter 1 | Parameter $n$ |
| EXECUTE METHOD IN SUBFORM | Subform reference | Name | Result | Parameter 1 | Parameter $n$ |
| EXECUTE METHOD ON CLIENT | Client reference | Name | n/a | Parameter 1 | Parameter $n$ |
| Execute method on server | Process name | Name | Process ID | Parameter 1 | Parameter $n$ |
| Execute method in new process | Process name | Name | Process ID | Parameter 1 | Parameter $n$ |
| EXECUTE METHOD IN WINDOW | Window reference | Name | n/a | Parameter 1 | Parameter $n$ |
| EXECUTE METHOD IN WORKER | Worker reference | Name | n/a | Parameter 1 | Parameter $n$ |

## Execution context in more detail

* Thread of execution
* Process state/context


## Worker Thread of Control



## Worker Thread of Control



## Worker Context



Advice on structuring worker code

* Keep the code as "straight-line" as possible
* Pass C_OBJECT messages
* Think carefully before making self-calls in a worker
* Tip: You can often identify self-calls by checking the process name and origin


## Food for thought: Recursion

```
    // Recurse
If (Undefined(Recurse_count))
    Recurse_count:=0
End if
Recurse_count:=Recurse_count+1
Recurse
// Recurse_Worker
If (Undefined(Recurse_count))
    Recurse_count:=0
End if
Recurse_count:=Recurse_count+1
CALL WORKER("Recurse_Worker";"Recurse_Worker")
```


## Form Thread of Control



Form Thread of Control


## Window Context

Current records
Locked records
Read-write states

Current selections

Process named selections
Interprocess named selections
Process sets

Interprocess sets
Transactions

Process variables

Process arrays

Open documents

Interprocess variables

Interprocess arrays

Form variables

## Multi-window Process Form Context Process Context



Advice on structuring form code

* Make the sequence in the code the same as the sequence of execution as much as possible
* Consolidate form setup code, form methods, and scripts into global methods with action/switch/event parameters parameters
* Tip: It's easier to reuse and test form control code when you can emulate form events with a parameter


## Review: Messages are code

* "Messages" are blocks of code
* eval() is inherently dangerous in any language. Use with care.
* Code executes in the context of the target


## Review: Queues

* Calls go into a form (window) queue or a worker queue
* Calls send messages if you're 4D, they send remote procedure calls if you're a 4D developer.
* Queues cannot be inspected, counted, serialized, sorted, or seen in any way


## Review: Call sequence

* Calls are always sent and received in sequence
* Calls from multiple sources are received in an unpredictable order relative to one another
* Delivery is guaranteed unless the queue is destroyed


## Review: Features

* There is no automatic response system
* Calls are always sent and received in sequence
* Only windows and workers can receive calls
* Any piece of code can send messages
* The queues are internal and invisible
* Incoming code cannot be reviewed or blocked


## Review: Forms

* There is no form event triggered by CALL FORM
* The form method is not triggered by CALL FORM
* A method invoked by CALL FORM has access to all of the variables, etc. of the target process and to the form variables of the specified window's form
* Incoming calls cannot be blocked


## Review: Workers

* Workers are available in all flavors of 4D, including 32 and 64-bit, compiled and interpreted, 4D, 4D Remote and 4D Server
* Workers cannot block incoming calls
* Workers cannot inspect or review incoming calls
* Workers are started or restarted automatically
* KILL WORKER destroys a worker and may destroy any number of pending messages


## Publish-Subscribe: Demonstration



## Publish-Subscribe: Form sections

## Client



## PetList
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ProcedureGraph


## Publish-Subscribe: On Set Pet



## Publish-Subscribe: On Set Visit

## Client
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## Publish-Subscribe: On Set Pet: Message-based



## Publish-Subscribe: On Set Pet: Message distribution



## Publish-Subscribe: On Set Visit: Message-based



| PetList |  |
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ProcedureGraph


## Publish-Subscribe: On Set Visit: Message distribution



## Subscription list

```
{ "OnSetPet":{
    "host":"MacBook Pro",
    "name": "VetClient_Service_OnSetPet",
    "service_type":"Service_Is_Subscription"
    publisher_type":"Service_publisher_is_a_form"
    "publisher_id":"1376264",
    "publisher_handler":"Client_PetListHandler",
    "publiserh_winref":1376264,
    "subscriptions_count":3
    subscriptions":[
        "recipient"
            "host_name": "MacBook Pro",
            "methōd_name": "Client_GraphPetTypeHandler",
            type":"Form",
            "tag":"VetClient_Service_OnSetPet",
            "winref":1376264
        }, }
        },
            "recipient":{
            host name":"MacBook Pro",
            method_name":"Client_PetListHandler",
            type":"Form"
            tag" "VetClient Service OnSetPet"
            winref":1376264
        },
        },
            "recipient":{
            "host_name":"MacBook Pro"
            method_name":"Client VisitListHandler"
            type":"Form",
            "tag":"VetClient_Service_onSetPet",
            winref":1376264
            }
        }
    } ]
}
```


## Publish-Subscribe: Sample distribution



Message

## Service

MessageHub
Subscribers


On Set Pet Subscription List


## Keep coupling loose

Coupling describes how tightly a class or routine is related to other classes or routines. The goal is to create classes and routines with small, direct, visible, and flexible relations to other classes and routines, which is known as "loose coupling."....

Good coupling between modules is loose enough that one module can easily be used by other modules. Model railroad cars are coupled by opposing hooks that latch when pushed together. Connecting two cars is easy-you just push the cars together. Imagine how much more difficult it would be if you had to screw things together, or connect a set of wires, or if you could connect only certain kinds of cars to certain other kinds of cars. The coupling of model railroad cars works because it's as simple as possible. In software, make the connections among modules as simple as possible.

- Steve McConnell, Code Complete, 2nd Edition


## Publish-Subscribe: Sample message

```
{
    "message_header": {
            "service_host": "MacBook Pro",
            "service_name": "VetClient_Service_OnSetPet",
            "sender_host": "MacBook Pro",
            "sender_name": "Client_Pet_Listbox",
            "message_type": "Event",
            "event": "VetClient_Event_OnSetPet",
            "tag": "VetClient_Service_OnSetPet"
    },
    "message_payload": {
        "pet_uuid": "720DDEA5642E474CB6B15B9361DF78BE"
    }
}
```

Coupling and calls

* The publisher should not know about the internals of its subscribers
* The publisher should not know about the state of its subscribers
* The publisher should not know about the existence of its subscribers


## Designing Calls: Message types: Signal

```
{
    "event":"pet_selected"
}
```


## Designing Calls: Message types: Identifier

```
{
        "event":"pet_selected",
        "pet_uuid":"720DDEA5642E474CB6B15B9361DF78BE"
}
```


## Designing Calls: Message types: Full payload

```
{
    "event":"pet_selected",
    "pet":{
            "UUID_PK":"720DDEA5642E474CB6B15B9361DF78BE",
            "Name":"Sam",
            "Client_UUID":"633617554F874D5592B8BB82CE68BC8D",
            "Date_of_Birth":"2008-11-26T13:00:00.000Z",
            "Species_UUID": "D59F89B3BE0C4E34989B73BED0E7B68B"
    }
}
```


## Designing Calls: Message types: Command (bad)

```
{
        "event":"pet_selected",
        "pet_uuid":"720DDEA5642E474CB6B15B9361DF78BE",
        "command":"reload_related_visits"
}
```


## Be polite: Say what happened, don't tell what to do

## Yes :)

- Row selected (ID)
- Row deleted (ID)
- Row updated (ID)
- Query run (Conditions)

No :(

- Highlight button
- Set font color to red
- Load related records
- Cancel record

Coupling and message formats

* Do you have to update the subscribers when the publisher changes the message payload?
* Do you have to pass a lot of data useful only to one or a few types of subscriber?
* Are payloads "heavy" and hard to process or maintain?


## Designing Calls: Be parsimonious

* In 4D, you can take the database for granted. In other environments, you often have to package details into massive objects. It's not great to work with.
* How much does a service need to know about its subscribers? Ideally, nothing at all. It doesn't even need to know if there are subscribers.
* It's tempting to pack a lot of data into the message payload. Resist. Send as little as practical and efficient. Use the database.

Message format tips

* Consider an overall message envelope with a header and body
* Header meta-data like custom_type and version can really save you down the line.
* Headers are useful for any sort of messaging system that wants to support broadcasting or routing
* Keep messages as simple as you can
* Make tools to capture and validate messages
* Keep message formats as similar to each other as practical


## Background: Cooperative versus Preemptive Processing



Preemptive Processing Requirements

* 64-bit
* Compiled
* Preemptive flag on
* 4D or 4D Server
* CALL WORKER, New process, or Execute on server
* Thread-safe commands

Benefits of preemptive processing: Idealized view


## Amdahl's Law

$S_{\text {latency }}(s)=\frac{1}{(1-p)+P / s}$

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Amdahl\'s law

## Gustafson's law

## $S_{\text {latency }}(s)=1 p+s p$



## Amdahl's Law

The speedup of a program using multiple processors in parallel computing is limited by the time needed for the sequential fraction of the program

The more cores you add to a CPU, the faster the parallel parts of an application are processed, so the more the performance becomes dependent on the performance in the sequential parts

Multi-core and multi-threading performance (the multi-core myth?)
https://scalibq.wordpress.com/2012/06/01/multi-core-and-multi-threading/

## Amdahl's Law: Real-world performance


http://tutorials.jenkov.com/java-concurrency/amdahls-law.html

Choosing tasks to run on secondary cores

* Only some tasks are suitable for optimization using multiple threads
* Chose discrete, time-consuming, CPU-intensive tasks
* Time spent on preparing work and marshaling results counts against the benefit of extra CPUs


## Decent Candidates for Preemptive Processing

* Centralized log writing
* Folder-watch system for imports
* Background summarization for fact tables, reports, or other extracts and analysis
* Other ideas?


## Conceptual Example: LogWriter

Core 1


Core 2


## Core 3

DataAnalyser_Worker


Other Ways to Get More Speed

* 4D Compiler
* SSD
* Idle processes
* RAIC
* Network-based systems with an API
* Multiple cooperative processes

Communicating with Preemptive Processes

## No

- Interprocess variables
- Plug-ins
- CALL PROCESS
- GET/SET PROCESS VARIABLE
- Begin/End SQL


## Yes

- Records
- Documents
- HTTP Get
- CALL WORKER (workers only)


## Soft Spots

* Race conditions on files
* Illegal instructions sent via CALL WORKER
* Illegal instructions executed using any form of eval ( )

